Lecture 15: Volcanoes, hotspots, LIPS, and absolute reference frames

Read KK&V chapter 5.4, 5.5, 5.6



Manifestations of active tectonics

Topography



Earthquakes



Heat flow



Volcanoes



3 types of volcanic activity on Earth









3 types of volcanic activity on Earth







Hawaiian hotspot and its chain of volcanic islands



.... and submerged



to leave the trace of a hot spot stable in the mantle and beneath the northwestward moving Pacific Plate



Volcanic chains like Hawaii form by motion of the Pacific plate over magma plumes embedded in the mantle Islands and seamounts get progressively Several chains of volcanic features show





Lots of drilling, dredging



-50 -45 -40 -35 -30 -25 -20 -15 -10

Yellowstone hotspot

Prominent Geoid anomaly

Has a 500 km wide topographic swell

A large heat flow anomaly

And a track going back 17 Ma to the Columbia river flood basalts



Heatflow



Smith et al. (2009)

The Geoid is:

The shape a fluid Earth would have if it had exactly the gravity field of the Earth

Corresponds to level of water if narrow channels were cut through continents



Geoid anomalies often reflect "dynamic topography"

Dynamic topography is a region where the high topography is supported by upwelling hot material

Dynamic topography is the reason why the geoid is high over regions of low-density mantle. If the mantle were static, these low-density regions would be geoid lows. However, these low-density regions move upwards in a mobile, convecting mantle

http://principles.ou.edu/earth_figure_gravity/geoid/



Plume as imaged from seismic P waves



Uplift map from GPS and InSAR data



Magma plume as mapped by electrical conductivity variations

Shows plume 400 miles across , dipping 40 $^\circ\,$ to the west; less tilt than in seismics

Can only see to 200 miles depth but defines the zone as bigger than only seen in seismics

Smith et al. (2009)



Last super-eruption (Yellowstone caldera) ~640 kyr 1000 x ash with Mt. St. Helens

Last large lava flows ~70 kyr Last small eruptions ~10 kyr



with an imaged volcanic conduit centered beneath the surface caldera holding Yellowstone Lake



Iceland: image conduit to 400 km



LIKELY MODEL FOR HOT SPOTS: MANTLE PLUMES

Mantle is heated from below at the core mantle boundary. Hot, buoyant material collects and rises as a diapir, with a "plume head" at the top - like a "mushroom cloud"- and forming a pipe (or tail) through which hot material can continue to rise.

- When the plume head reaches the surface its melt erupts as a flood basalt, forming a Large Igneous Province ("L.I.P.") and a massive dike swarm.
- The pipe continues to deliver hot mantle and melt to the surface as the plate moves over it, creating a "hot-spot track" of volcanoes.

from Thompson GSA Today April 1948





Figure 18. Giant swarm of Early Jurassic dikes radiating from offshore eastern North America (star) into the three continents before breakup. From Ernst et al. (1995).



Figure 7. Simplified map of the Mackenzie giant radiating dike swarm (1.265 Ga) of northern Canada. S marks coeval sills: black area indicates coeval Coppermine volcanics. From Ernst et al. (1995).



Figure 10. Emergence point of Yellowstone plume (large star), its track on the Snake River Plain, and its present position at Yellowstone (small star). The line extending south from the large star indicates dike swarms of the northern Nevada rift. To the north are shown the Columbia River flood basalts (CP) and their feeder dikes. Heavy lines at left indicate the position of the Mendocino fracture zone at three time intervals. From Zoback et al. (1994).

Mantle plumes come in various shapes and sizes and can be modeled based on assumptions of mantle viscosity and layering....



PLUME GROWTH AND UPRISE

characteristic of most models are broad plume heads

Ascending plumes interact with the rigid, strong lithosphere producing a number of phenomena



Decompression is generally only possible in the uppermost mantle. Thus if the lithosphere is exceptionally thick as under continents, melting by adiabatic decompression is limited.



Consequently we tend to observe the surface manifestation of plume volcanism in areas of thin crust, such as in the oceans or in stretched continental margins.

ORIGIN OF HOT SPOT MAGMAS 0.1	.B. "
MAGMA COMES FROM PARTIAL	
MELT OF MANTLE (BASALT)	
BUT IS DISTINCT FROM "M.O.R.B."	
IN MINOR & TRACE ELEMENTS	
AND IN ISOTOPIC CONTENT	
LARGE - ION LITHOPHILE ELEMENTS "LIL.	5 10
La, Rb, Cs, Ba, Sr, K, P, Ti	8
THESE TEND TO CONCENTRATE	8 10,
INTO PARTIAL MELT	4
SO IF MELT MANTLE SEVERAL	2
TIMES THEY ARE CONCENTRATED	0.28
IN FIRST MELT, DEPLETED	0.20
IN LATER MAGMAS.	0.12

Classic model Schilling (1973) Based on dredging along Reykjanes ridge near Iceland

O.I.B. = Ocean Island basalts



Normal MORBs have been recycled repeatedly through the mantle and are "depleted" in LILs.

Deep mantle plumes have not gone through this process and are "enriched" in LILs



Schilling (1973)

MORB. IS DEPLETED

ALSO LEAD ISOTOPE STUDIES SUGGEST HOT SPOT MAGMA HAS BEEN ISOLATED FROM REST FOR 1 - 2 BILLION YR: SO 0.1.B. FROM DEEP IN MANTLE 2

Controversial, alternative source:

FROM ISOLATED BLOBS

P.H.M.P. "Primordial Hot Mantle Plume" D.L.V.L. "Depleted Low Velocity Layer"



Two mantle plume models by Schilling (1973) to account for Chemical gradients along the Peykjanes Ridge (so. Iceland).

Here are some of the more prominent hotspots





Hotspot trails constrain the location of Euler poles that give the motion of a plate relative to the mantle

They generate small circles about the Euler pole

Can define an "absolute reference frame" based on present day motion of plates relative to many hotspots

Classic study: Clague & Jarrard (1973) identified two trends to the Pacific Island chains

A younger trend (~45 Ma to present)_ matching Hawaii and other young chains

An older trend (~80 To 45 Ma) matching the Emperor chain and a few other chains





Clague & Jarrard found Euler poles that fit the two distinct trends, in the same way you fit Euler poles to transform fault trends (orthogonal lines)





Oblique Mercator Projection!

Hawaii trend Euler pole

Oblique Mercator Projection!

Emperor trend Euler pole



Now, 40 years later, lots more ages, but basic picture is abut the same ... 2 or 3 longlasting trends over last 80 Ma





Wessel and Kroenke (2008)

KK&Vfig 5.7



Originally attributed change of motion to change in geometry of trenches ("slab pull")

Now, believe hotspots sometimes drift





White Mountains Igneous Province (125 Ma)

75°V

70°V

65°'

Hotspot tracks in the Atlantic are not as easy to follow as in the Pacific

Can be discontinuous and cross ridge axis (that is, the ridge axis migrates over them)

New England Seamounts (105-85)

Corner rise (75-70)

50°\

45°'

1N°

Great Meteor Seamount

25°\

30°'

20°W

15°V

10°W

35°)

Great Meteor seamount is best North Atlantic hotspot trail

5°W

WO® P

15°N 10°N

50°N

45°N

35°N

30°N

25°N

20°N

Parana flood basalts (130 Ma)

50°W

60°W

40°W

Rio Grande rise (80-50 Ma)

30°W

20°W

Tristan da Cunha hotspot was "On ridge" until ~ 50 ma, since then beneath African plate

> X Tristan da Cunha (0 Ma)

10°W

W0°E

Entendeka flood basalts (130 My)

Walvis ridge (110 – 50 Ma)

10°E

20°E

40°S

50°S

30°E

30°S

0°N

10°S

20°S

Atlantic Ocean hotspots a-la Jason Morgan

Morgan generated a set of ages/positions for Atlantic hotspots Fuzzy hotspots on left shows slop in fit





Classic study:

Tricky – find set of rotations that fit all of the hotspots at the same time you are reconstructing the relative motions of the plates

Problem: the age progression on many of the hotspot tracks are poorly defined.

> Morgan (1977)

Torch tectonics: where continents later rifted after passing over hotspots

Note: - "pre-weakening" of continental rifts - crossing of mid-ocean ridges











Like NUVEL1, can also make a global model for present day absolute plate motions

Here, recent absolute motion has been calculated for all plates and extrapolated forward 40 m.y.

See that Pacific plate moves quite fast relative to mantle

Other plates more slowly,

Africa, Eurasia and Antarctica the slowest

Present day absolute plate motions x 40 Ma

KK&V Fig. 5.6

Gripp and Gordon (2002)

Normal plume events generally build seamount chains and aseismic ridges

> Plumes initiate from the D`` layer at the core/mantle boundary

norma



mantle

outer core

> inner core

Volcanic plateaus and seamount chains (shown in red) are found worldwide in oceans and on continents.



The plateaus are called Large Igneous Provinces (LIPs)

LARGE IGNEOUS PROVINCES

Large igneous provinces (LIPs) are voluminous emplacements of predominantly mafic extrusive and intrusive rock whose origins lie in processes other than 'normal' seafloor spreading.

· LIPs include continental flood basalts and associated intrusive rocks, volcanic passive margins, oceanic plateaus, submarine ridges, seamount groups, and ocean basin flood basalts.

Some argue there are superplumes which build vast areas of oceanic plateaus and seamount clusters



The Mid-Cretaceous Superplume Episode

The earth has an erratic "heartbeat" that can release vast amounts of heat from deep within the planet. The latest "pulse" of the earth occurred 120 million years ago

by Roger L. Larson

Larson's Mid-Cretaceous Superplume is perhaps the largest

Reconstruction to anomaly 34

Dark areas = crust formed between M0 and 34

Polygons are aseismic ridges (and their conjugates) formed in this period



Some geologic consequences of the mid-Cretaceous superplume event



Increase in the world-wide rate of oceanic crust production Shutdown in reversals of the earth's magnetic field



The largest LIPs are therefore in the oceans such as the Ontong Java Plateau in the Pacific and smaller LIPs are on the continents such as the Columbia River Flood Basalts with those in between at continental margins such as the Deccan Traps



WHITE AND MCKENZIE: MAGMATISM AT RIFT ZONES



Fig. 8. Reconstruction of the northern North Atlantic region at magnetic anomaly 23 time, just after the onset of oceanic spreading. Position of extrusive volcanic rocks is shown by solid shading, with hatching to show the extent of early Tertiary igneous activity in the region. The inferred position of the mantle plume beneath east Greenland at the time of rifting and the extent of the nushroom-shaped head of abnormally hot asthenosphere are superimposed. Projection is equal area centered on the mantle plume.



Fig. 2. Temperature variations seen in cross-section through the Cape Verde swell from the best fitting axisymmetic convection model of Courtney and White [1986]. Temperature anomalies are labeled in degrees Celsius with respect to the mean asthenosphere temperature. Note the narrow central rising plume and the broad mushroomhaped head of hot material deflected laterally by the overlying plate.

Reconstruction of North Atlantic at 55 Ma

Rifting of Greenland and Norway in Early Tertiary (55 Ma) was associated with voluminous flood basalts (hatched areas)

Attributed to decompression melting of hot asthenosphere in a mantle plume (White and McKenzie)

Plume head: 2000 km wide

Note that plume head volcanism mainly penetrated the thinned continental margin of Greenland

Other examples: Deccan Traps in India, Parana/Etendeka in Brazil/Angola Afar triple junction





Another way of dividing them up: Red = transient (plume head) LIPs; Blue = persistent (plume tail) LIPs

Coffin 2006

Duncan and Richards (1991)

Two classic flood basalt provinces (LIPs) linked to hotspot track

Deccan/Chagos-Laccadive/Reunion

Rajmahal/90East Ridge/Kerguelen



Do hotspots form a fixed global reference frame?



White = predicted motion of Hawaii hotspot based on Indo-Atlantic hotspots and plate circuit Initially constructed separate Indo-Atlantic and Pacific reference frames

If global, should be able to predict motion of Hawaii hotspot based on Indo-Atlantic hotspot reference frame

Reconstructions failed to do this

Major global tectonic puzzle

Why?

KK&V 5.10



Perhaps due to a missing plate boundary in plate circuit

Most likely candidate: missing a boundary within Antarctica

This would lead to a bad plate circuit model

Or perhaps hotspots have drifted.

How can you test whether Hawaii has been "fixed" relative to mantle?



Latitude of Kilauea = 19° Paleo-latitude of "Bend" = 19° Paleo-latitude of Suiko Smt = 27° (Based on paleo-inclinations of drilled rocks)

So - Hawaii Hotspot "drifted" 8° south between 65 and 43 Ma

Confirmed during ODP Leg 197 Drift of 30 to 50 mm/yr between 80 and 43 Ma.

Paleomagnetics constrain drift of Hawaiian hitspoit

If "fixed" relative to spin axis, hotspots should always be at the same paleomagnetic latitude

Why do hotspots drift?

Perhaps deep mantle plumes are deflected by mantle flow . Why shouldn't they drift? Computer models suggest that they do – but these models are very dependent on the viscosity structure of the lower mantle – which is poorly known ...



Figure 2. Four stages in the life of a mantle plume. (a) A rising plume consists of a plume head and a conduit. (b) After the plume head is erupted, the conduit is left behind. (c) A plume conduit gets distorted by mantle shear flow. (d) It splits up into several drops once the distortion gets too strong.

Steinberger and O'Connell (1998)



Note shift in latitude from 35° to 20° In the red conduit, the source moves with flow

But why did it stop drifting at 45 Ma?

Predicted motion of Hawaiian hotspot based on mantle convection model



A little circular since viscosity in lower mantle is unknown and is manipulated until you get get the answer you like

Steinberger et al. (2004)





Another possibility:

True polar wander (TPW): Does the spin axis shift with respect to the solid earth?

Normally the mantle and hotspots, on average, do not move with respect to spin axis

Magnetic inclination as recorded by seamounts, on average, do not change over time

True polar wander:

Entire solid earth gradually (~1°/m.y.) shifts with respect to spin axis.

The earth's magnetic field stays aligned with the spin axis

The inclinations of hotspots will record this shift in the spin axis.



Andrews (1985)



So, perhaps change in inclination of Hawaiin hotspot reflects shift in mantle w.r.t. spin axis

Cox and Hart

Test?

A test:

X

Louisville seamount chain

Louisville seamount chain looks similar to Hawaii hotspot

30°S

35°S

40°S

45°S

50°S

55°S

60°S

65*5

70°S

75°S

Dredged rocks show similar age progression and even a "bend", although more subtle

What do the inclinations show? Do they show whether the hotspot drifted "in unison" with Hawaii (TPW)



160°E 170°	180°E	170°W	160°W	150°W	140°W	130°W	120°W	110°W	100°W	90°W	80°W

A test:

Predicted drift based on mantle flow models

160°E

170°E





180°E

170°W

160°W

150°W

140°W

130°W

Louisville seamount chain

Louisville seamount chain looks similar to Hawaii hotspot

30°S

35°S

40°S

45°S

50°S

55°S

60°S

65*5

70°S

75°S

Dredged rocks show similar age progression and even a "bend", although more subtle

What do the inclinations show? Do they show whether the hotspot drifted "in unison" with Hawaii (TPW)

Or did it follow the drift predicted by mantle flow models (less than Hawaii but not zero)

100°W

110°W

90°W

80°W

Or something else

120°W

Louisville Seamount chain was drilled recently

It turns out that, unlike Hawaii, Louisville has drifted very little...

So not True Polar Wander ...

160°E	170°E	180°E	170°W	160°W	150°W	140°W	130°W	120°W	110°W	100°W	90°W	80°W

30°S

35°S

40°S

45°S

50°S

55°S

60°S

65°S

70°S

75 55

So, are hotspots Deep Mantle plumes? There are some who are skeptical. MantlePlumes.org web site of Gillian Foulger



4: Cross sections through a whole-mantle tomography model (Ritsema *et al.* 1999) showing structure in the top 1000 km of the mantle at Iceland. (Courtesy of J Ritsema.)

Plume conduits should go down to Core Mantle boundary

But only see them down to 400 km (limitation of method; conduits are too small to by imaged by normal mantle tomography)

Hotspots have distinctive chemistry: "enriched", primitive mantle so skeptics have to come up with odd scenarios to explain

Foulger: Iceland due to passage of ridge over ancient suture zone

The excessive melt production at the Iceland volcanic province can be explained by high mantle fertility associated with an ancient subduction zone – the Caledonian suture, where it is crossed by a spreading ridge. This has given rise to locally excessive melting and consequential thick crust and complex, unstable tectonics. This interpretation of Iceland attributes

Foulger (2008)



TBL = thermal boundary layer CFB = continental flood basalts Don Anderson has an alternative model for chemistry of hotspots

Continental rifting leads to upwelling which leads to continental flood basalts

Tap into shallow source of enriched mantle



Conventional model (left) has Depleted mantle (DM) beneath oceans and continents

Anderson model (right) has a shallow layer of enriched mantle (EM)



Middle ground?

Not all hotspots come from deep mantle plumes

Some may come from the top of domes near the transition zone

Still others may have a shallow origin due to cracks in lithosphere

Courtillot et al. 2003



(b) 2850 km (2%)





Map of 49 hotspots relative to velocity anomalies at 500 km and 2850 km



Above, only show fast (blue) anomalies at 2850 km

Lower mantle superswells are large pink dots

Seven primary hotspots in red; 3 others are green with red margins

Primary hotspots form above edges of superswells

Courtillot et al. 2003



Source of plumes: Red = core mantle boundary Yellow = base of upper mantle Green = in the lithosphere



DePaolo and Foulger (2003)