Magnetic Anomalies and Seafloor Spreading

read chapter 4.1 of KK&V



EARTH'S MAGNETIC FIELD



(A) Normal polarity

Hamblin and Christiansen (2001)

To first order, Earth's magnetic field is a dipole.

The strength of the field varies between, roughly 25,000 nT (near the equator) and 65,000 nT (near the poles). nT = nanoTesla

The Main (Core) Field is generated in the outer (liquid) core by a "geodynamo."

Rocks in the crust acquire magnetizations by various processes. E.g. when rocks cool through the Curie isotherm they may acquire a Thermal Remanent Magnetization (TRM).

Anomalies due to crustal sources are small: 20 to 1000 nT

The mantle is essentially non-magnetic.

Total field = Core Field + Crustal Anomalies (99%) $(\sim 1\%)$

EARTH'S MAGNETIC FIELD



Hamblin and Christiansen (2001)

To first order, Earth's magnetic field is a dipole.

The strength of the field varies between, roughly 25,000 nT (near the equator) and 65,000 nT (near the poles). nT = nanoTesla

The Main (Core) Field is generated in the outer (liquid) core by a "geodynamo."

Rocks in the crust acquire magnetizations by various processes. E.g. when rocks cool through the Curie isotherm they may acquire a Thermal Remanent Magnetization (TRM).

Anomalies due to crustal sources are small: 20 to 1000 nT

The mantle is essentially non-magnetic.

Total field = Core Field + Crustal Anomalies (99%) $(\sim 1\%)$ Averaged over periods of time greater than about 5 kyr the geomagnetic field is a dipole aligned with the Earth' spin axis.

Geocentric Axial Dipole (GAD) hypothesis



Figure 1.4. Geocentric dipole field. λ and θ are latitude and co-latitude respectively. To the right: inclinations of magnetic field B relative to the Earth's surface at various latitudes.

.

.

ويرجعها المتصادي فالمعاصين والمراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع

International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF)

The long wavelength variations of the magnetic field (caused by the main core field) are quantitatively described by a set of spherical harmonic coefficients. These coefficients describe the shape of the field from the longest wavelengths (the dipole term) down to wavelengths as short as, roughly, 3000 km (e.g. degree and order 10). These coefficients are updated every 5 years.



Fig. 2.3c. Isodynamic chart for 1990 showing the variation of total intensity over the Earth's surface. Contours are labeled in nT.

Merrill et al. (1996)

Magnetic anomalies are the leftovers after you subtract the regional (core) field.



Measuring the Magnetic Field at Sea

The TOTAL field strength can be measured with a Proton Precession Magnetometer

Principle:

Free protons precess about a magnetic field at a frequency proportional to the field strength

In practice:

A small coil is filled with a fluid containing free protons (e.g.distilled water or benzene) and towed about 1000 feet behind a ship.

Field Direction Precessing protons

| | | | | 1 |
|--|---------------|------------|--|--|
| | | | | T |
| | | | | |
| | | | | film i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i |
| | | | | |
| | | | | 00000 |
| | | | the second s | |
| | | | | |
| ~ ~ ~ ~ | | a a a a a | 2 AV | |
| | $\overline{}$ | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | - | | |
| | 10 | - I opp/ - | | |
| | T take C | | ~ | |
| maane | tomera | | | |
| | TT | | | |
| 1 A. | | | | |

Two step process

1) Polarize coil for 1 or 2 seconds; protons line up parallel to long axis of coil Cor

2) Stop polarizing; protons precess as they line up parallel to Earth's field. Measure frequency of precession for ~1 sec. For a field strength of ~40,000 nT the frequency is ~2000 herz. Accuracy is , Main Field



precessing protons



discovered linear magnetic anomalies: "zebra stripes."

and Mason

Their origin was a mystery.

Surveys of magnetic

field off of west coast

in mid-1950's by Raff

Unfortunately for Raff and Mason, this was before it was known that the magnetic field reverses periodically.

MENARD (1964)

Figure 3.4 Magnetic anomalies and offsets on fracture zones.

How and why does the magnetic field reverse?



Geodynamo – selfsustained generation of magnetic field due to convective motion of conductive material (iron) in the outer core

The polarity of magnetic field can change spontaneously (reproduced in models – G. Glatzmeier)

Such reversals are usually preceded by a decrease in the field intensity

How and why does the magnetic field reverse?



The classic geomagnetic polarity timescale determined by radiometric dating of volcanic rocks



Cox (1969)

5 Ma is about the limit for constraining the timescale by K/Ar dating of volcanic rocks.



Vine and Matthews hypothesis

Linear marine magnetic anomalies are a record of reversals of the earth's magnetic field that are "frozen in" at the ridge axis as the sea floor is spreading.

As the ocean floor spreads apart, hot basaltic magma is erupted at the mid-ocean ridges. When the magma cools below the Curie point, the direction of the ambient magnetic field is locked in the basalts. Because the magnetic field reverses polarity every few hundred thousand years, the anomalies form a distinctive pattern of highs and lows.

Over time, the magnetic anomalies form a symmetrical record (a giant dual-headed tape recorder) preserving the history of seafloor spreading and a history of the magnetic polarity of the magnetic field.

Vine and Matthews (1963)

based on crummy data

Eltanin 19



The details came in 1966

Great data from the Pac-Ant ridge

Real character in the anomalies (3 fingers Brown)

Expanded timescale to 10 Ma

Pitman & Heistzler (1966

Doell and Dalrymple (1966)

Cox (1969)



earth's magnetic field

Title of book about the history of plate tectonics: "The Road to Jaramillo" by W. Glen



Successive versions of the radiometric time scale for reversals, showing how the discovery of polarity events changed the apparent distribution of polarity intervals (Cox et al., 1963b, 1964b; McDougall and Tarling, 1964; Doell and Dalrymple, 1966; McDougall and Chamalaun, 1966). In the corresponding histograms, N_T is the total number of polarity intervals and N is the number in each class interval of the histogram



With a magnetic polarity timescale for the last 10 Ma, you can go back to Raff and Mason's original survey of the Juan de Fuca Ridge and date the ocean floor and study the spreading history. Using magnetic anomalies to make a magnetic polarity reversal timescale



Isochron map of the ocean floor according to the magnetic anomaly pattern. Numbers on isochron lines represent age in millions of years. Dotted lines represent fracture zones

Lamont had all these great data, but needed a timescale to date the ocean floor

Heirtzler et al. (1968)



The distance to a given anomaly in the South Atlantic (V-20 S.A.) versus distance to the same anomaly in the South Idian, North Pacific, and South Pacific oceans. Numbers on right refer to anomaly numbers

Geomagnetic polarity timescale as of 1968

Based on a single calibration point for anomaly 2A old and extrapolating ages based on a South Atlantic profile

This timescale was extraordinary

Are reversals random?



The only calibration point was a radiometric age of 3.37 Ma for the old end of anomaly 2A (the boundary between the



1972: Expansion of timescale to the Mesozoic – the M anomalies

Larson and Pitman (1972)

Periods of time corresponding to a magnetic anomaly are called Chrons

We say something happened at "Chron 5" when we mean "the time of magnetic anomaly 5"

Ages of some Chrons:

M29 = 155 Ma, M0 = 120 Ma 34 = 84 Ma, 13 = 35 Ma, 5 = 10 Ma



Added two long polarity intervals (34 and 33) before 32 Added one more short reversal (M0) after M1

Put it all together: timescale for last 170 Ma

Intriguingly:

120 - 84 Ma: No linear magnetic anomalies KQZ = Cretaceous Quiet Zones

No reversals; perhaps high intensity?

Cretaceous Long Normal Polarity Interval or the Cretaceous Superchron

Why did the field stop reversing?

Just a random, long polarity interval????

180 - 155 Ma: low amplitude magnetic anomaliesJQZ = Jurassic Quiet ZonesRapid reversals (low field intensity ?)

Atwater



Was there an episode of globally fast sea floor spreading during the Mid-Cretaceous?

Proposed in 1972 by Larson and Piłman.

Spreading rates between anomalies MO and 34 appeared to be unusually fast in all oceans.

Perhaps a connection with lack of polarity reversals. Would have led to higher sea levels.

Others disputed observations; still a controversy.

Problems ...

Age of M0 slipped from 108 to 120 Ma Reconstructions of subducted crust Kula = All gone

Empirical depth/age relationship



D = 2500 + 350 √ T where T is age in Ma

Valid out to about 80 Ma, then depth subsides more slowly

Controls long term sea level changes Most notably – high sea levels in the late Cretaceous

effect of increasing spreading rate from 20 mm/yr to 60 mm/yr



Digital Isochrons of the Ocean Floor R.D. Müller, W.R. Roest, J.-Y. Royer, L.M. Gahagan, J.G. Sclater



Where you have anomalies on both sides of the ridge, such as in the Atlantic, you can directly reconstruct the motions of plates.

Africa – North America + North America - Eurasia = Africa - Eurasia



Pitman and Talwani (1972) The North Pacific is more difficult because half the anomaly record (the Farallon and Kula plates) has been subducted. But if you assume spreading was symmetrical you can deduce a lot.



Interaction of Pacific and Farallon plates with North America based on Magnetic Anomalies





80 Ma





Composition and structure of the oceanic crust

Magnetic anomalies are generated from three layers in the oceanic crust:

Rapidly quenched pillow basalts (extrusives) in layer 2A Sheeted dykes (intrusives) in layer 2B Slowly cooled gabbros in Layer 3

Spreading axis



Gee and Kent (2007)



The shape of the polarity boundary varies from layer to layer and reflects how it is formed:

In the Pillow basalts it is about 2 km wide and slopes inward In the dikes it may be only a few 100 m's wide and is vertical In the gabbros it is broad (10-15 km) and slopes gently outwards following the cooling isotherms Reconstruction: magnetic anomalies





Reconstruction: bathymetry







Observed anomalies are a composite of three layers

The pillow basalts of layer 2A, the extrusives, are the most strongly magnetized. Although this layer is only 500 m thick, it is the most important contributor to anomalies

The intrusive dikes, layer 2B, are about half the strength of the extrusives. This layer is about 1 km thick.

The gabbros, Layer 3, are the weakest, but since this layer is about 4 km thick it is also important

So, the ratio of magnetization in the three layers is closer to 5.0 to 2.3 to 1.2

Gee and Kent (2007)

magnetization units: A/m= amps/meter

Basic Theory of Magnetic Anomalies

Consider the magnetic anomaly over a 2-D body on the sea floor "at the pole" – i.e. where the Inclination of the ambient field is 90°

Total field magnetometers (e.g. proton precession) measure the component of the anomalous (crustal) field in the direction of the ambient (main) field. That is, since the ambient field is >> than the anomalous field, the component of the anomalous field \perp to the ambient field has no effect on the total field value.





Consequently, it is relatively easy to visualize the shape of the anomaly over simple magnetic bodies.

Note that the anomaly is positive over the center of the body and slightly negative beyond the edge of the body.





Note that the anomaly over the center of the body is negative or "upside-down". Example – anomalies over the Galapagos Spreading Center



You can think of magnetic anomalies as "composites" of edge effects:

If the reversal boundaries are far apart, the edge effects are distinct and the anomaly goes to zero in the center.



The anomalies we "normally" see at intermediate spreading centers is a composite of two edge effects.



At slow spreading rates, the same two edge effects nearly cancel:







The skewness of anomalies is dependent on both 1) the ambient inclination (I_o) and 2) the remanent inclination (I_r)

This makes computing the anomaly shape tricky because you have to know where it was formed.

On the other hand, with a little astute modeling, you can figure out the paleo-latitude

For a ridge striking East-West and currently at the North Pole ($I_o = 90$):

Formed at the equator $(I_r = 0)$

Formed at mid-latitude $(I_r = 45)$

Formed at the North Pole $(I_r = 90)$ (No drift) A more recent timescale is by Cande and Kent (1992) (CK92).

CK92 went back and re-determined the anomaly spacings (for the first time since Heirtzler et al.) and applied a revised set of calibration points. A few modifications were made in 1995 (CK95).



| TABLE 5. | Age Calibrations for Geomagnetic Polarity | Time |
|----------|---|------|
| | Scale | |

| Chron | South Atlantic distance,* km | Age, Ma |
|--------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| C2An(0.0) | 41.75 | 2.6 |
| C5Bn(0.0) | 290.17 | 14.8 |
| C6Cn.2r(0.0) | 501.55 | 23.8 |
| C13r(.14) | 759.49 | 33.7 |
| C21n(.33) | 1071.62 | 46.8 |
| C24r(.66) | 1221.20 | 55.0 |
| C29r(.3) | 1364.37 | 66.0 |
| C33n(.15) | 1575.56 | 74.5 |
| C34n(0.0) | 1862.32 | 83.0 |

Fig. 27. Ages of magnetic anomalies (crosses) as determined by fitting a cubic spline approximation function to the calibration points (inverted triangles).

An "astronomical" timescale

Milankovich cycles:

Variations in the Earth's orbital parameters (eccentricity, tilt, precession) cause cyclical variations in the temperature of the earth's surface.

Periodicities of 23,000 - 40,000 - 100,000 and 400, 000 years.

These variations cause clear cycles in carbonate/clay ratios and turbidites in sedimentary sections. Can count them to get time durations with great accuracy.

In conjunction with magnetostratigraphic studies, can determine reversal times to an accuracy of 10,000 years - much better than from magnetic anomalies.

Presently, have done this systematically back to about * Ma - with more to comp.

23 Ma



